arXiv:1512.02723v1 [cs.IT] 9 Dec 2015

Incremental approaches to knowledge reduction of covering decision information systems with variations of coverings

Guangming Lang[1,2,3] * Mingjie Cai[4]
[1] Department of Computer Science and Technology, Tongji University
Shanghai 201804, P.R. China
[2] School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Changsha University of Science and Technology
Changsha, Hunan 410114, P.R. China
[3] The Key Laboratory of Embedded System and Service Computing, Ministry of Education, Tongji University
Shanghai 201804, P.R. China
[4] College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University
Changsha, Hunan 410004, P.R. China

Abstract. In practical situations, calculating approximations of concepts is the central step for knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information system, which has received growing interests of researchers in recent years. In this paper, the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with variations of coverings are computed from the perspective of matrix using incremental approaches. Especially, effective algorithms are designed for calculating the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with the immigration of coverings. Experimental results demonstrate that the designed algorithms provide an efficient and effective method for constructing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems. Two examples are explored to illustrate the process of knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with the covering immigration.

Keywords: Characteristic matrix; Covering information system; Covering decision information system; Rough set

1 Introduction

Covering-based rough set theory [41] as a generalization of Pawlak's rough sets is a powerful mathematical tool to deal with uncertainty and imprecise information in the field of knowledge discovery and rule acquisition. To handle with uncertainty knowledge, researchers have investigated covering-based rough set theory [4,21,25,26] and presented three types approximation operators summarized by Yao [40]

*Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 021 69585800,
E-mail address: langguangming1984@126.com(G.M.Lang), cmjlong@163.com (M.J.Cai).

as follows: element-based operators, granular-based operators and system-based operators for covering approximation spaces, and discussed the relationships among them. Additionally, all approximation operators are also classified into dual and non-dual operators and their inner properties are investigated.

Researchers have proposed many lower and upper approximation operators with respect to different backgrounds. Particularly, they [31, 32, 35–37, 39, 42, 45–50] have investigated approximation operators from the view of matrix. For example, Liu [15] provided a new matrix view of rough set theory for Pawlak's lower and upper approximation operators. He also represented a fuzzy equivalence relation using a fuzzy matrix and redefined the pair of lower and upper approximation operators for fuzzy sets using the matrix representation in a fuzzy approximation space. Wang et al. [35] proposed the concepts of the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices of coverings and transformed the computation of the second, fifth and sixth lower and upper approximations of a set into products of the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices and the characteristic function of the set in covering approximation spaces. Zhang et al. [42] proposed the matrix characterizations of the lower and upper approximations for set-valued information systems. He [45–47] also presented efficient parallel boolean matrix based algorithms for computing rough set approximations in composite information systems and incomplete information systems. Actually, because of the dynamic characteristic of data collection, there are a lot of dynamic information systems with variations of object sets, attribute sets and attribute values, and researchers [1–3, 5–14, 16–20, 22–24, 27–30, 33, 34, 38, 42–44] have focused on knowledge reduction of dynamic information systems. Especially, researchers [5, 6, 42] have computed approximations of sets for knowledge reduction of dynamic information systems from the view of matrix. For instance, Zhang et al. [42] provided incremental approaches to updating the relation matrix for computing the lower and upper approximations with dynamic attribute variation in set-valued information systems. They also proposed effective algorithms of computing composite rough set approximations for dynamic data mining. Lang et al. [5, 6] presented incremental algorithms for computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets from the view of matrix and investigated knowledge reduction of dynamic covering information systems with variations of objects. In practical situations, there are many dynamic covering information systems with the immigration and emigration of coverings, and computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets is time-consuming using the non-incremental algorithms in these dynamic covering information systems, it also costs more time to conduct knowledge reduction of dynamic covering information systems with variations of coverings. Therefore, it is urgent to propose effective approaches to updating the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets for knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with the covering variations.

This work is to investigate knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems. First, we investigate the basic properties of dynamic covering information systems with variations of coverings. Particularly, we study the properties of the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices with the covering variations and the relationship between the original type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices and

the updated type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices. We also provide incremental algorithms for updating the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices, respectively. We employ examples to illustrate how to update the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets with variations of coverings. Second, we generate randomly ten dynamic covering information systems with the covering variations randomly and compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in these dynamic covering information systems. We also employ experimental results to illustrate the proposed algorithms are effective to update the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems. Third, we employ two examples to demonstrate that the designed algorithms are effective to conduct knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with immigrations of coverings, which will enrich covering-based rough set theory from the matrix view.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 briefly reviews the basic concepts of covering-based rough set theory. In Section 3, we update the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices in dynamic covering information systems with variations of coverings. We design the incremental algorithms for computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets. We also provide examples to demonstrate how to calculate the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets. In Section 4, the experimental results illustrate the incremental algorithms are effective to construct the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with the covering immigration. In Section 5, we explore two examples to illustrate how to conduct knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with the covering immigration. Concluding remarks and further research are given in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly review some concepts related to covering-based rough sets.

Definition 2.1 *[41] Let U be a finite universe of discourse, and $\mathscr{C}$ a family of subsets of U. Then $\mathscr{C}$ is called a covering of U if none of elements of $\mathscr{C}$ is empty and $\bigcup\{C|C \in \mathscr{C}\} = U$. Furthermore, $(U, \mathscr{C})$ is referred to as a covering approximation space.*

If U is a finite universe of discourse, and $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, ..., \mathscr{C}_m\}$, where $\mathscr{C}_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$ is a covering of U, then $(U, \mathscr{D})$ is called a covering information system, which can be viewed as a covering approximation space. Furthermore, if the coverings of $\mathscr{D}$ are classified into two categories: conditional attribute-based coverings and decision attribute-based coverings, then $(U, \mathscr{D})$ is referred to as a covering decision information system. For convenience, a covering decision information system is denoted as $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$, where $\mathscr{D}_C$ and $\mathscr{D}_D$ mean conditional attribute-based coverings and decision attribute-based coverings, respectively.

Definition 2.2 *[35] Let $(U, \mathscr{C})$ be a covering approximation space, and $N(x) = \bigcap\{C_i | x \in C_i \in \mathscr{C}\}$ for $x \in U$. For any $X \subseteq U$, the second and sixth upper and lower approximations of X with respect to $\mathscr{C}$ are defined as follows:*

(1) $SH_{\mathscr{C}}(X) = \bigcup\{C \in \mathscr{C} | C \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$, $SL_{\mathscr{C}}(X) = [SH_{\mathscr{C}}(X^c)]^c$;

(2) $XH_{\mathscr{C}}(X) = \{x \in U | N(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$, $XL_{\mathscr{C}}(X) = \{x \in U | N(x) \subseteq X\}$.

According to Definition 2.2, the second and sixth lower and upper approximation operators are important standards for knowledge reduction of covering information systems in covering-based rough set theory; they are also typical representatives of approximation operators for covering approximation spaces.

If $U = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a finite universe of discourse, $\mathscr{C} = \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_m\}$ a family of subsets of U, and $M_{\mathscr{C}} = (a_{ij})_{n\times m}$, where $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i \in C_j; \\ 0, & x_i \notin C_j. \end{cases}$, then $M_{\mathscr{C}}$ is called a matrix representation of $\mathscr{C}$. Additionally, we also have the characteristic function $\mathcal{X}_X = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & . & . & . & a_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ for $X \subseteq U$, where $a_i = \begin{cases} 1, & x_i \in X; \\ 0, & x_i \notin X. \end{cases}$.

Definition 2.3 *[35] Let $(U, \mathscr{C})$ be a covering approximation space, $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times m}$ and $B = (b_{ij})_{m\times p}$ Boolean matrices, and $A \odot B = (c_{ij})_{n\times p}$, where $c_{ij} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{m}(b_{kj} - a_{ik} + 1)$. Then*

(1) $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}) = M_{\mathscr{C}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{C}}^T = (d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *is called the type-1 characteristic matrix of $\mathscr{C}$, where $d_{ij} = \bigvee_{k=1}^{m}(a_{ik} \cdot a_{jk})$, and $M_{\mathscr{C}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{C}}^T$ is the boolean product of $M_{\mathscr{C}}$ and its transpose $M_{\mathscr{C}}^T$;*

(2) $\prod(\mathscr{C}) = M_{\mathscr{C}} \odot M_{\mathscr{C}}^T = (e_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *is called the type-2 characteristic matrix of $\mathscr{C}$.*

We show the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices respectively as follows.

Definition 2.4 *[35] Let $(U, \mathscr{C})$ be a covering approximation space, and $\mathcal{X}_X$ the characteristic function of X in U. Then*

(1) $\mathcal{X}_{SH_{\mathscr{C}}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{C}) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X$, $\mathcal{X}_{SL_{\mathscr{C}}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{C}) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$; (2) $\mathcal{X}_{XH_{\mathscr{C}}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{C}) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X$, $\mathcal{X}_{XL_{\mathscr{C}}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{C}) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$.

We present the concepts of the type-1 and type-2 reducts of covering decision information systems as follows.

Definition 2.5 *[5] Let $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ be a covering decision information system, where $\mathscr{D}_C = \{\mathscr{C}_i | i \in I\}$, $\mathscr{D}_D = \{D_i | i \in J\}$, I and J are indexed sets. Then $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{D}_C$ is called a type-1 reduct of $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ if it satisfies* (1) *and* (2) *as follows:*

(1) $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \Gamma(\mathscr{P}) \bullet M_{D_i}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \Gamma(\mathscr{P}) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$;

(2) $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} \neq \Gamma(\mathscr{P}') \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} \neq \Gamma(\mathscr{P}') \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \forall \mathscr{P}' \subset \mathscr{P}$.

Definition 2.6 *[5] Let $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ be a covering decision information system, where $\mathscr{D}_C = \{\mathscr{C}_i | i \in I\}$, $\mathscr{D}_D = \{D_i | i \in J\}$, I and J are indexed sets. Then $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{D}_C$ is called a type-2 reduct of $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ if it satisfies* (1) *and* (2) *as follows:*

(1) $\prod(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \prod(\mathscr{P}) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \prod(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \prod(\mathscr{P}) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$;

(2) $\prod(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} \neq \prod(\mathscr{P}') \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \prod(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} \neq \prod(\mathscr{P}') \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \forall \mathscr{P}' \subset \mathscr{P}$.

3 Update the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices with variations of coverings

In this section, we present incremental approaches to computing the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices with variations of coverings.

Definition 3.1 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D})$ *and* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *be covering information systems, where* $U = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$, $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, ..., \mathscr{C}_m\}$, *and* $\mathscr{D}^+ = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, ..., \mathscr{C}_m, \mathscr{C}_{m+1}\}(m \geq 1)$. *Then* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *is called a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$.

In practical situations, the cardinalities of coverings which describes objects in covering information systems are increasing with the development of science and technology. Moreover, $(U, \mathscr{D})$ is referred to as a static covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$.

Example 3.2 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D})$ *be a static covering information system, where* $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$, $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3\}$, $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}\}$, *and* $\mathscr{C}_3 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_3, x_4\}\}$. *By adding* $\mathscr{C}_4 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$ *into* $\mathscr{D}$, *we obtain a dynamic covering information system* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$, *where* $\mathscr{D}^+ = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3, \mathscr{C}_4\}$.

In what follows, we show how to construct $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+)$ based on $\Gamma(\mathscr{D})$. For convenience, we denote $M_{\mathscr{D}} = [\ M_{\mathscr{C}_1}\ \ M_{\mathscr{C}_2}\ \ .\ .\ .\ \ M_{\mathscr{C}_m}\ ]$, $M_{\mathscr{D}^+} = [\ M_{\mathscr{C}_1}\ \ M_{\mathscr{C}_2}\ \ .\ .\ .\ \ M_{\mathscr{C}_m}\ \ M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}\ ]$, $M_{\mathscr{C}_k} = (a_{ij}^k)_{n \times |\mathscr{C}_k|}$, $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$, and $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = (c_{ij})_{n \times n}$, where $|*|$ denotes the cardinality of $*$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *be a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathscr{D})$ *and* $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+)$ *the type-1 characteristic matrices of* $\mathscr{D}$ *and* $\mathscr{D}^+$, *respectively. Then*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}) \bigvee \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}),$$

where $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}^T$.

Proof. By Definitions 2.3 and 3.1, we get $\Gamma(\mathscr{C})$ and $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}^+)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) &= M_{\mathscr{D}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m
\end{bmatrix} \bullet \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m
\end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
b_{11} & b_{12} & . & . & . & b_{1n} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & . & . & . & b_{2n} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
b_{n1} & b_{n2} & . & . & . & b_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}, \\
\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) &= M_{\mathscr{D}^+} \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}^+}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{11}^{m+1} & a_{12}^{m+1} & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{21}^{m+1} & a_{22}^{m+1} & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{n1}^{m+1} & a_{n2}^{m+1} & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1}
\end{bmatrix} \bullet \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{11}^{m+1} & a_{12}^{m+1} & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{21}^{m+1} & a_{22}^{m+1} & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{n1}^{m+1} & a_{n2}^{m+1} & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1}
\end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & . & . & . & c_{1n} \\
c_{21} & c_{22} & . & . & . & c_{2n} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
c_{n1} & c_{n2} & . & . & . & c_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Definition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} a^1_{i1} & a^1_{i2} & . & a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{i1} & a^2_{i2} & . & a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{i1} \quad a^m_{i2} \quad . \quad a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \\
&\quad \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} a^1_{j1} & a^1_{j2} & . & a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{j1} & a^2_{j2} & . & a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{j1} \quad a^m_{j2} \quad . \quad a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} \end{array}\right]^T \\
&= [(a^1_{i1} \cdot a^1_{j1}) \vee (a^1_{i2} \cdot a^1_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} \cdot a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|})] \vee [(a^2_{i1} \cdot a^2_{j1}) \vee (a^2_{i2} \cdot a^2_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} \cdot a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|})] \vee ... \vee \\
&\quad [(a^m_{i1} \cdot a^m_{j1}) \vee (a^m_{i2} \cdot a^m_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} \cdot a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|})], \\
c_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} a^1_{i1} & a^1_{i2} & . & a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{i1} & a^2_{i2} & . & a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{i1} \quad a^m_{i2} \quad . \quad a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} & a^{m+1}_{i1} \quad a^{m+1}_{i2} \quad . \quad a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \\
&\quad \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} a^1_{j1} & a^1_{j2} & . & a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{j1} & a^2_{j2} & . & a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{j1} \quad a^m_{j2} \quad . \quad a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} & a^{m+1}_{j1} \quad a^{m+1}_{j2} \quad . \quad a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T \\
&= [(a^1_{i1} \cdot a^1_{j1}) \vee (a^1_{i2} \cdot a^1_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} \cdot a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|})] \vee [(a^2_{i1} \cdot a^2_{j1}) \vee (a^2_{i2} \cdot a^2_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} \cdot a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|})] \vee ... \vee \\
&\quad [(a^m_{i1} \cdot a^m_{j1}) \vee (a^m_{i2} \cdot a^m_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} \cdot a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|})] \vee [(a^{m+1}_{i1} \cdot a^{m+1}_{j1}) \vee (a^{m+1}_{i2} \cdot a^{m+1}_{j2}) \vee ... \vee (a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \cdot a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|})] \\
&= b_{ij} \vee \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{i1} & a^{m+1}_{i2} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{j1} & a^{m+1}_{j2} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T.
\end{aligned}$$

To obtain $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+)$, we only need to compute $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$ as follows:

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{11} & a^{m+1}_{12} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ a^{m+1}_{21} & a^{m+1}_{22} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ a^{m+1}_{n1} & a^{m+1}_{n2} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{11} & a^{m+1}_{12} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ a^{m+1}_{21} & a^{m+1}_{22} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ . & . & . \quad . \quad . & . \\ a^{m+1}_{n1} & a^{m+1}_{n2} & . \quad . \quad . & a^{m+1}_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}) \bigvee \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}),$$

where $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \bullet M^T_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}$. □

We present the non-incremental and incremental algorithms for computing $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ in dynamic covering information systems.

Algorithm 3.4 *(Non-incremental algorithm of computing $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$(**NIS**))*

Step 1: Input $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$;

Step 2: Construct $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = M_{\mathscr{D}^+} \bullet M^T_{\mathscr{D}^+}$;

Step 3: Compute $\mathcal{X}_{SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X$ and $\mathcal{X}_{SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$;

Step 4: Output $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$.

Algorithm 3.5 *(Incremental algorithm of computing $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$(**IS**))*

Step 1: Input $(U, \mathscr{D})$ and $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$;

Step 2: Calculate $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \bullet M^T_{\mathscr{D}}$;

Step 3: Construct $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}) \bigvee \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$, where $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \bullet M^T_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}$;

Step 4: Obtain $\mathcal{X}_{SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X$ *and* $\mathcal{X}_{SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$;

Step 5: Output $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ *and* $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$.

The time complexity of computing the second lower and upper approximations of sets is $O(2n^2 * \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} |\mathscr{C}_i| + 2n^2)$ using Algorithm 3.4. Furthermore, $O(2n^2 * |\mathscr{C}_{m+1}| + 3n^2)$ is the time complexity of Algorithm 3.5. Therefore, the time complexity of the incremental algorithm is lower than that of the non-incremental algorithm.

Example 3.6 *(Continued from Example 3.2) Taking* $X = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. *According to Definition 2.3, we first have*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_4) = M_{\mathscr{C}_4} \bullet M_{\mathscr{C}_4}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, by Theorem 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}) \bigvee \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bigvee \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Third, by Definition 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} &= \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1\ ]^T, \\ \mathcal{X}_{SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} &= \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0\ ]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ *and* $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \emptyset$.

In Example 3.6, we only need to calculate elements of $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_4)$ for computing $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ using Algorithm 3.5. But we must construct $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+)$ for computing $SH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $SL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ using Algorithm 3.4. Thereby, the incremental approach is more effective to compute the second lower and upper approximations of sets.

Theorem 3.7 *Let $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ be a dynamic covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (b_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = (c_{ij})_{n\times n}$ the type-1 characteristic matrices of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^+$, respectively. Then*

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & b_{ij} = 1; \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_{i1}^{m+1} & a_{i2}^{m+1} & \cdots & a_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} a_{j1}^{m+1} & a_{j2}^{m+1} & \cdots & a_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \end{bmatrix}^T, & b_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.3.□

Example 3.8 *(Continued from Example 3.6) According to Definition 2.3, we have*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.7, we get

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 3.9 *Let $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ be a dynamic covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\Gamma(\mathscr{D})$ and $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+)$ the type-1 characteristic matrices of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^+$, respectively.*

(1) *If $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (1)_{n\times n}$, then $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = (1)_{n\times n}$;*

(2) *If $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (0)_{n\times n}$, then $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^+) = \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$.*

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.7.□

Subsequently, we construct $\prod(\mathscr{C}^+)$ based on $\prod(\mathscr{C})$. For convenience, we denote $\prod(\mathscr{C}) = (d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and $\prod(\mathscr{C}^+) = (e_{ij})_{n\times n}$.

Theorem 3.10 *Let $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ be a dynamic covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\prod(\mathscr{D})$ and $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+)$ the type-2 characteristic matrices of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^+$, respectively. Then*

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \prod(\mathscr{D}) \bigwedge \prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}),$$

where $\prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \odot M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}^T$.

Proof. By Definitions 2.3 and 3.1, we get $\prod(\mathscr{D})$ and $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod(\mathscr{D}) &= M_{\mathscr{D}} \odot M_{\mathscr{D}}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m
\end{bmatrix} \odot \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m
\end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
d_{11} & d_{12} & . & . & . & d_{1n} \\
d_{21} & d_{22} & . & . & . & d_{2n} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
d_{n1} & d_{n2} & . & . & . & d_{nn}
\end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) &= M_{\mathscr{D}^+} \odot M_{\mathscr{D}^+}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{11}^{m+1} & a_{12}^{m+1} & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{21}^{m+1} & a_{22}^{m+1} & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{n1}^{m+1} & a_{n2}^{m+1} & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1}
\end{bmatrix} \odot \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{11}^2 & a_{12}^2 & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{11}^m & a_{12}^m & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{11}^{m+1} & a_{12}^{m+1} & . & a_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{21}^2 & a_{22}^2 & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{21}^m & a_{22}^m & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{21}^{m+1} & a_{22}^{m+1} & . & a_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . \\
a_{n1}^1 & a_{n2}^1 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_1|}^1 & a_{n1}^2 & a_{n2}^2 & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_2|}^2 & . & . & . & a_{n1}^m & a_{n2}^m & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_m|}^m & a_{n1}^{m+1} & a_{n2}^{m+1} & . & a_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1}
\end{bmatrix}^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
e_{11} & e_{12} & . & . & . & e_{1n} \\
e_{21} & e_{22} & . & . & . & e_{2n} \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
e_{n1} & e_{n2} & . & . & . & e_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Definition 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} a^1_{i1} & a^1_{i2} & . & a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{i1} & a^2_{i2} & . & a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{i1} & a^m_{i2} & . & a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} \end{array}\right] \odot \\
&\quad \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} a^1_{j1} & a^1_{j2} & . & a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{j1} & a^2_{j2} & . & a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{j1} & a^m_{j2} & . & a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} \end{array}\right]^T \\
&= [(a^1_{j1} - a^1_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^1_{j2} - a^1_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} - a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} + 1)] \wedge \\
&\quad [(a^2_{j1} - a^2_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^2_{j2} - a^2_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} - a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} + 1)] \wedge ... \wedge \\
&\quad [(a^m_{j1} - a^m_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^m_{j2} - a^m_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} - a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} + 1)], \\
e_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} a^1_{i1} & a^1_{i2} & . & a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{i1} & a^2_{i2} & . & a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{i1} & a^m_{i2} & . & a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} & a^{m+1}_{i1} & a^{m+1}_{i2} & . & a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \odot \\
&\quad \left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc} a^1_{j1} & a^1_{j2} & . & a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{j1} & a^2_{j2} & . & a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} & . & . & . & a^m_{j1} & a^m_{j2} & . & a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} & a^{m+1}_{j1} & a^{m+1}_{j2} & . & a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T \\
&= [(a^1_{j1} - a^1_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^1_{j2} - a^1_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} - a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} + 1)] \wedge \\
&\quad [(a^2_{j1} - a^2_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^2_{j2} - a^2_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} - a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} + 1)] \wedge ... \wedge \\
&\quad [(a^m_{j1} - a^m_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^m_{j2} - a^m_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^m_{j|\mathscr{C}_m|} - a^m_{i|\mathscr{C}_m|} + 1)] \wedge \\
&\quad [(a^{m+1}_{j1} - a^{m+1}_{i1} + 1) \wedge (a^{m+1}_{j2} - a^{m+1}_{i2} + 1) \wedge ... \wedge (a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} - a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} + 1)] \\
&= d_{ij} \wedge \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{i1} & a^{m+1}_{i2} & . & a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \odot \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{j1} & a^{m+1}_{j2} & . & a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T.
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+)$, we only need to compute $\prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$ as follows:

$$
\prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} a^{m+1}_{11} & a^{m+1}_{12} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ a^{m+1}_{21} & a^{m+1}_{22} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ a^{m+1}_{n1} & a^{m+1}_{n2} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \odot \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} a^{m+1}_{11} & a^{m+1}_{12} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{1|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ a^{m+1}_{21} & a^{m+1}_{22} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{2|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ a^{m+1}_{n1} & a^{m+1}_{n2} & . & . & a^{m+1}_{n|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \prod(\mathscr{D}) \bigwedge \prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}),$$

where $\prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \odot M^T_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}$. □

We also provide the non-incremental and incremental algorithms for computing $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ in dynamic covering information systems.

Algorithm 3.11 *(Non-incremental algorithm of computing $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$(**NIX**))*

Step 1: Input $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$*;*

Step 2: Construct $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = M_{\mathscr{D}^+} \odot M^T_{\mathscr{D}^+}$*;*

Step 3: Compute $\mathcal{X}_{XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X$ *and* $\mathcal{X}_{XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$*;*

Step 4: Output $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ *and* $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$*.*

Algorithm 3.12 *(Incremental algorithm of computing $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$(**IX**))*

Step 1: Input $(U, \mathscr{D})$ and $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$;

Step 2: Construct $\prod(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \odot M_{\mathscr{D}}^T$;

Step 3: Calculate $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \prod(\mathscr{D}) \bigwedge \prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$, where $\prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1}) = M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}} \odot M_{\mathscr{C}_{m+1}}^T$;

Step 4: Get $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \cdot \mathcal{X}_X$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X$;

Step 5: Output $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$.

The time complexity of computing the sixth lower and upper approximations of sets is $O(2n^2 * \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} |\mathscr{C}_i| + 2n^2)$ by Algorithm 3.11. Furthermore, $O(2n^2 * |\mathscr{C}_{m+1}| + 3n^2)$ is the time complexity of Algorithm 3.12. Therefore, the time complexity of the incremental algorithm is lower than that of the non-incremental algorithm.

Example 3.13 *(Continued from Example 3.2) Taking $X = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. By Definition 2.3, we first have*

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \odot M_{\mathscr{D}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, by Theorem 3.10, we get

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \prod(\mathscr{D}) \bigwedge \prod(\mathscr{C}_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bigwedge \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Third, according to Definition 2.4, we obtain

$$\mathcal{X}_{XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [\ 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0\ ]^T,$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)} = \prod(\mathscr{D}^+) \odot \mathcal{X}_X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = [\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0\ ]^T.$$

Therefore, $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X) = \{x_3, x_4\}$.

In Example 3.11, we must compute $\prod(\mathscr{C}^+)$ for constructing $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ using algorithm 3.11. But we only need to calculate $\prod(\mathscr{C}_4)$ for computing $XH_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^+}(X)$ using Algorithm 3.12.

Thereby, the incremental approach is more effective to compute the sixth lower and upper approximations of sets.

Theorem 3.14 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *be a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\prod(\mathscr{C}) = (d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *and* $\prod(\mathscr{C}^+) = (e_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *the type-2 characteristic matrices of* $\mathscr{D}$ *and* $\mathscr{D}^+$, *respectively. Then*

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & d_{ij} = 0; \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_{i1}^{m+1} & a_{i2}^{m+1} & \cdots & a_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} a_{j1}^{m+1} & a_{j2}^{m+1} & \cdots & a_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|}^{m+1} \end{bmatrix}^T, & d_{ij} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.10.□

Example 3.15 *(Continued from Example 3.2) According to Definition 2.3, we have*

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \odot M_{\mathscr{D}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.14, we obtain

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proposition 3.16 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D}^+)$ *be a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\prod(\mathscr{D})$ *and* $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+)$ *the type-2 characteristic matrices of* $\mathscr{D}$ *and* $\mathscr{D}^+$, *respectively.*

(1) *If* $\prod(\mathscr{D}) = (0)_{n\times n}$, *then* $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = (0)_{n\times n}$;

(2) *If* $\prod(\mathscr{D}) = (1)_{n\times n}$, *then* $\prod(\mathscr{D}^+) = \prod(\mathscr{C}_{m+1})$.

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.14.□

In practical situations, there are some dynamic covering information systems because of the emigration of coverings, which are presented as follows.

Definition 3.17 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D})$ *and* $(U, \mathscr{D}^-)$ *be covering information systems, where* $U = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$, $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, ..., \mathscr{C}_m\}$, *and* $\mathscr{D}^- = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, ..., \mathscr{C}_{m-1}\}(m \geq 2)$. *Then* $(U, \mathscr{D}^-)$ *is called a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$.

In other words, $(U, \mathscr{D})$ is also referred to as a static covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D}^-)$. Furthermore, we employ an example to illustrate dynamic covering information systems given by Definition 3.17 as follows.

Example 3.18 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D})$ *be a static covering information system, where* $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$, $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3, \mathscr{C}_4\}$, $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_3 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_3, x_4\}\}$, *and*

$\mathscr{C}_4 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$. *If we delete* $\mathscr{C}_4$ *from* $\mathscr{D}$*, then we obtain a dynamic covering information system* $(U, \mathscr{D}^-)$ *of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$*, where* $\mathscr{D}^- = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3\}$.

We also show how to construct $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}^-)$ based on $\Gamma(\mathscr{C})$. For convenience, we denote $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (b_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^-) = (c^-_{ij})_{n\times n}$.

Theorem 3.19 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D}^-)$ *be a dynamic covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$*,* $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = (b_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *and* $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^-) = (c^-_{ij})_{n\times n}$ *the type-1 characteristic matrices of* $\mathscr{D}$ *and* $\mathscr{D}^+$*, respectively. Then*

$$c^-_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & b_{ij} = 0; \\ 1, & b_{ij} = 1 \wedge \triangle c_{ij} = 0; \\ c^*_{ij}, & b_{ij} = 1 \wedge \triangle c_{ij} = 1. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle c_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{i1} & a^{m+1}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{j1} & a^{m+1}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^T; \\ c^*_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} a^1_{i1} & a^1_{i2} & \ldots & a^1_{i|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{i1} & a^2_{i2} & \ldots & a^2_{i|\mathscr{C}_2|} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{i1} & a^{m-1}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m-1}|} \end{array}\right] \bullet \\ &\quad \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} a^1_{j1} & a^1_{j2} & \ldots & a^1_{j|\mathscr{C}_1|} & a^2_{j1} & a^2_{j2} & \ldots & a^2_{j|\mathscr{C}_2|} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{j1} & a^{m-1}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m-1}|} \end{array}\right]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.3.□

Example 3.20 *(Continued from Example 3.14) Taking* $X = \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}$*. According to Definition 2.3, we first obtain*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \bullet M^T_{\mathscr{D}} = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

Second, by Theorem 3.19, we get

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}^-) = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

Third, by Definition 2.4, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_{SH_{\mathscr{D}^-}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^-) \bullet \mathcal{X}_X = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \bullet \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right]^T,$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{SL_{\mathscr{D}^-}(X)} = \Gamma(\mathscr{D}^-) \odot \mathcal{X}_X = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \odot \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^T.$$

Therefore, $SH_{\mathscr{D}^-}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ *and* $SL_{\mathscr{D}^-}(X) = \emptyset$*.*

We also show how to construct $\prod(\mathscr{C}^{-})$ based on $\prod(\mathscr{C})$. For convenience, we denote $\prod(\mathscr{D}) = (d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and $\prod(\mathscr{D}^{-}) = (e^{-}_{ij})_{n\times n}$.

Theorem 3.21 *Let $(U, \mathscr{D}^{-})$ be a dynamic covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D})$, $\prod(\mathscr{D}) = (d_{ij})_{n\times n}$ and $\prod(\mathscr{D}^{-}) = (e^{-}_{ij})_{n\times n}$ the type-2 characteristic matrices of $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{-}$, respectively. Then*

$$e^{-}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & d_{ij} = 1 \wedge \triangle e_{ij} = 1; \\ 0, & d_{ij} = 0 \wedge \triangle e_{ij} = 1; \\ e^{*}_{ij}, & d_{ij} = 0 \wedge \triangle e_{ij} = 0. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle e_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{i1} & a^{m+1}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{m+1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right] \odot \left[\begin{array}{cccc} a^{m+1}_{j1} & a^{m+1}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{m+1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m+1}|} \end{array}\right]^{T}, \\ e^{*}_{ij} &= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} a^{1}_{i1} & a^{1}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{1}|} & a^{2}_{i1} & a^{2}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{2}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{2}|} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{i1} & a^{m-1}_{i2} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{i|\mathscr{C}_{m-1}|} \end{array}\right] \odot \\ &\quad \left[\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc} a^{1}_{j1} & a^{1}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{1}|} & a^{2}_{j1} & a^{2}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{2}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{2}|} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{j1} & a^{m-1}_{j2} & \ldots & a^{m-1}_{j|\mathscr{C}_{m-1}|} \end{array}\right]^{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward by Theorem 3.10.□

Example 3.22 *(Continued from Example 3.18) According to Definition 2.3, we first have*

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}) = M_{\mathscr{D}} \odot M^{T}_{\mathscr{D}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, by Theorem 3.21, we get

$$\prod(\mathscr{D}^{-}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Third, by Definition 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{XH_{\mathscr{D}^{-}}(X)} &= \prod(\mathscr{D}^{-}) \bullet \mathcal{X}_{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{XL_{\mathscr{D}^{-}}(X)} &= \prod(\mathscr{D}^{-}) \odot \mathcal{X}_{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $XH_{\mathscr{D}^{-}}(X) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $XL_{\mathscr{D}^{-}}(X) = \{x_3, x_4\}$.

In practical situations, we compute the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices for dynamic covering information systems with the immigrations and emigrations of covering simultaneously using two steps as follows: (1) compute the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices by Theorems 3.3 and 3.10, respectively; (2) construct the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices by Theorems 3.19 and 3.21, respectively. Actually, there are more dynamic covering information systems given by Definition 3.1 than those defined by Definition 3.17. Therefore, the following discussion focuses on the dynamic covering information systems given by Definition 3.1.

4 Experimental analysis

In this section, we perform experiments to illustrate the effectiveness of Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 for computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of concepts, respectively, in dynamic covering information systems with the immigration of coverings.

To test Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12, we generated randomly ten artificial covering information systems $\{(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i)|i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10\}$, which are outlined in Table 1, where $|U_i|$ means the number of objects in U_i and $|\mathscr{D}_i|$ denotes the cardinality of the covering set $\mathscr{D}_i$. For convenience, each covering here contains five elements in each covering information system $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i)$. Moreover, we conducted all computations on a PC with a Intel(R) Dual-Core(TM) i5-4590 CPU @ 3.30 GHZ and 8 GB memory, running 64-bit Windows 7; the software used was 64-bit Matlab R2009b.

Table 1: Covering information systems for experiments.

No.	Name	$\|U_i\|$	$\|\mathscr{D}_i\|$
1	$(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1)$	2000	1000
2	$(U_2, \mathscr{D}_2)$	4000	1000
3	$(U_3, \mathscr{D}_3)$	6000	1000
4	$(U_4, \mathscr{D}_4)$	8000	1000
5	$(U_5, \mathscr{D}_5)$	10000	1000
6	$(U_6, \mathscr{D}_6)$	12000	1000
7	$(U_7, \mathscr{D}_7)$	14000	1000
8	$(U_8, \mathscr{D}_8)$	16000	1000
9	$(U_9, \mathscr{D}_9)$	18000	1000
10	$(U_{10}, \mathscr{D}_{10})$	20000	1000

4.1 The stability of Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11 and 3.12

In this section, we illustrate the stability of Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11 and 3.12 with the experimental results. First, we present the concept of sub-covering information system as follows.

Definition 4.1 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D})$ *be a covering information system, and* $\mathscr{D}^j \subseteq \mathscr{D}$. *Then* $(U, \mathscr{D}^j)$ *is called a sub-covering information system of* $(U, \mathscr{D})$.

According to Definition 4.1, we see that a sub-covering information system is a covering information system. Furthermore, the number of sub-covering covering information systems is $2^{|\mathscr{D}|}-1$ for the covering information system $(U, \mathscr{D})$.

Example 4.2 *Let $(U, \mathscr{D})$ be a covering information system, where $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$, $\mathscr{D} = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3\}$, $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}\}$, and $\mathscr{C}_3 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_3, x_4\}\}$. Then we obtain a sub-covering information system $(U, \mathscr{D}^1)$ by taking $\mathscr{D}^1 = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2\}$. Furthermore, $(U, \mathscr{D}^2)$ is also a sub-covering information system of $(U, \mathscr{D})$, where $\mathscr{D}^2 = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}$.*

Second, according to Definition 4.1, we obtain ten sub-covering information systems $\{(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^j) | j = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10\}$ for covering information system $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i)$ outlined in Table 1, and show these sub-covering information systems in Table 2, where $\mathscr{D}_i^j \subseteq \mathscr{D}_i$.

Table 2: Sub-covering information systems for experiments.

$(U, \mathscr{D})$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^1\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^2\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^3\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^4\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^5\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^6\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^7\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^8\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^9\rvert$	$\lvert\mathscr{D}_i^{10}\rvert$
$(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_2, \mathscr{D}_2^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_3, \mathscr{D}_3^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_4, \mathscr{D}_4^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_5, \mathscr{D}_5^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_6, \mathscr{D}_6^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_7, \mathscr{D}_7^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_8, \mathscr{D}_8^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_9, \mathscr{D}_9^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000
$(U_{10}, \mathscr{D}_{10}^j)$	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	900	1000

Third, to demonstrate the stability of Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12, we compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in sub-covering information systems $\{(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^j) | i, j = 1, 2, 3, ..., 10\}$. For example, we show the process of computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in covering information system $(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^1)$, where $|U_1| = 2000$ and $|\mathscr{D}_1^1| = 100$ as follows:

(1) By adding a covering into $\mathscr{D}_1^1$, we obtain the dynamic covering information system $(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^{1+})$, where $|U_1| = 2000$ and $|\mathscr{D}_1^{1+}| = 101$.

(2) Taking any $X \subseteq U_1$, we compute the second lower and upper approximations of X in dynamic covering information system $(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^{1+})$ using Algorithms 3.4 and 3.5. Furthermore, we also compute the sixth lower and upper approximations of X in dynamic covering information system $(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^{1+})$ using Algorithms 3.11 and 3.12. To confirm the accuracy of the experiment results, we conduct each experiment ten times and show the average time of ten experimental results in Table 3, where $t(s)$ denotes that the measure of time is in seconds.

Table 3: Computational times using NIS, NIX, IS, and IX in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^j)$

$(U, \mathscr{D})$	Algo.	$\mathscr{D}_i^1$	$\mathscr{D}_i^2$	$\mathscr{D}_i^3$	$\mathscr{D}_i^4$	$\mathscr{D}_i^5$	$\mathscr{D}_i^6$	$\mathscr{D}_i^7$	$\mathscr{D}_i^8$	$\mathscr{D}_i^9$	$\mathscr{D}_i^{10}$
$(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^j)$	NIS	0.294	0.291	0.308	0.305	0.303	0.326	0.302	0.315	0.333	0.333
	NIX	0.433	0.452	0.470	0.487	0.505	0.515	0.538	0.555	0.563	0.581
	IS	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
	IX	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.046	0.046	0.045	0.044
$(U_2, \mathscr{D}_2^j)$	NIS	1.392	1.239	1.392	1.488	1.414	1.318	1.261	1.508	1.446	1.290
	NIX	1.789	1.844	1.871	1.896	1.957	2.018	2.051	2.067	2.114	2.153
	IS	0.116	0.116	0.116	0.115	0.115	0.114	0.114	0.115	0.113	0.114
	IX	0.276	0.276	0.279	0.279	0.277	0.277	0.278	0.278	0.277	0.278
$(U_3, \mathscr{D}_3^j)$	NIS	2.815	2.743	2.950	3.004	2.978	3.276	2.860	3.058	3.427	3.091
	NIX	4.123	4.185	4.255	4.270	4.391	4.421	4.553	4.592	4.592	4.685
	IS	0.281	0.280	0.282	0.282	0.280	0.281	0.280	0.281	0.280	0.280
	IX	0.693	0.692	0.689	0.691	0.691	0.693	0.692	0.688	0.686	0.687
$(U_4, \mathscr{D}_4^j)$	NIS	4.812	4.911	5.758	5.260	5.441	5.773	5.546	5.216	5.085	5.683
	NIX	7.356	7.437	7.474	7.583	7.771	7.855	7.985	8.060	8.128	8.171
	IS	0.536	0.534	0.534	0.535	0.535	0.535	0.534	0.533	0.534	0.534
	IX	1.312	1.306	1.307	1.307	1.308	1.310	1.308	1.307	1.308	1.306
$(U_5, \mathscr{D}_5^j)$	NIS	7.816	8.327	8.747	8.482	9.026	9.564	9.596	9.171	9.096	8.673
	NIX	11.695	11.841	11.794	12.020	12.065	12.161	12.315	12.551	12.595	12.828
	IS	0.899	0.900	0.901	0.901	0.901	0.900	0.899	0.898	0.901	0.901
	IX	2.220	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.217	2.215	2.217	2.215	2.215	2.219
$(U_6, \mathscr{D}_6^j)$	NIS	11.815	11.974	11.925	13.100	11.836	11.959	12.498	13.341	13.524	13.960
	NIX	16.855	17.095	17.211	17.254	17.646	17.956	17.972	18.145	18.271	18.487
	IS	1.311	1.310	1.310	1.311	1.312	1.314	1.314	1.312	1.313	1.313
	IX	3.232	3.232	3.234	3.233	3.237	3.235	3.234	3.233	3.235	3.235
$(U_7, \mathscr{D}_7^j)$	NIS	15.390	17.084	18.474	16.966	17.148	17.170	15.884	19.168	16.926	17.414
	NIX	23.261	23.363	23.450	23.800	24.187	24.420	25.003	24.783	25.168	25.532
	IS	1.816	1.812	1.816	1.815	1.816	1.814	1.814	1.816	1.813	1.813
	IX	4.489	4.493	4.487	4.487	4.482	4.491	4.484	4.486	4.493	4.488
$(U_8, \mathscr{D}_8^j)$	NIS	19.268	20.399	20.427	25.125	24.195	21.648	22.758	25.579	23.050	24.523
	NIX	30.730	31.684	31.922	31.874	32.383	32.862	33.181	33.333	34.418	34.292
	IS	2.329	2.348	2.334	2.339	2.335	2.333	2.331	2.335	2.336	2.329
	IX	5.739	5.730	5.730	5.736	5.726	5.733	5.738	5.735	5.722	5.727
$(U_9, \mathscr{D}_9^j)$	NIS	27.727	24.680	27.103	27.168	28.197	29.591	27.240	28.775	30.566	33.012
	NIX	38.314	40.551	40.245	40.752	41.291	41.870	42.196	42.385	42.256	42.956
	IS	3.081	3.079	3.077	3.084	3.080	3.077	3.082	3.082	3.077	3.076
	IX	7.632	7.634	7.626	7.639	7.634	7.633	7.634	7.632	7.632	7.645
$(U_{10}, \mathscr{D}_{10}^j)$	NIS	38.748	31.240	35.121	35.774	37.235	36.723	38.385	37.174	40.780	36.097
	NIX	47.874	49.295	50.355	52.001	50.068	53.765	52.696	54.178	53.650	55.917
	IS	3.728	3.730	3.725	3.727	3.719	3.723	3.724	3.721	3.722	3.721
	IX	9.219	9.223	9.230	9.217	9.215	9.218	9.219	9.225	9.217	9.220

(3) We compute the variance of ten experimental results for computing the approximations of sets in each dynamic covering information system and show all variance values in Table 4. According to the experimental results, we see that Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 are stable to compute the second

and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with the immigration of coverings. Especially, Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 are more stable to compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets than Algorithms 3.4 and 3.11, respectively, in dynamic covering information systems.

Table 4: Variance values of computational times using NIS, NIX, IS, and IX in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^j)$

$(U, \mathscr{D})$	Algo.	$\mathscr{D}_i^1$	$\mathscr{D}_i^2$	$\mathscr{D}_i^3$	$\mathscr{D}_i^4$	$\mathscr{D}_i^5$	$\mathscr{D}_i^6$	$\mathscr{D}_i^7$	$\mathscr{D}_i^8$	$\mathscr{D}_i^9$	$\mathscr{D}_i^{10}$
$(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^j)$	NIS	0.005	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	NIX	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
	IS	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	IX	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
$(U_2, \mathscr{D}_2^j)$	NIS	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002
	NIX	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.003
	IS	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
	IX	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
$(U_3, \mathscr{D}_3^j)$	NIS	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.002
	NIX	0.005	0.004	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.013	0.005	0.017	0.005	0.003
	IS	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
	IX	0.006	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.002
$(U_4, \mathscr{D}_4^j)$	NIS	0.003	0.013	0.009	0.003	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.004	0.006
	NIX	0.019	0.004	0.005	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.014
	IS	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003
	IX	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.002
$(U_5, \mathscr{D}_5^j)$	NIS	0.005	0.019	0.014	0.003	0.009	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.006
	NIX	0.020	0.022	0.009	0.010	0.020	0.014	0.023	0.009	0.021	0.013
	IS	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.001
	IX	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.004	0.005
$(U_6, \mathscr{D}_6^j)$	NIS	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.032	0.012	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.025	0.008
	NIX	0.024	0.015	0.019	0.034	0.021	0.045	0.028	0.033	0.042	0.031
	IS	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.005
	IX	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.007	0.006	0.007	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.005
$(U_7, \mathscr{D}_7^j)$	NIS	0.023	0.008	0.057	0.012	0.009	0.021	0.022	0.032	0.025	0.030
	NIX	0.110	0.036	0.027	0.037	0.031	0.096	0.071	0.060	0.074	0.124
	IS	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.003	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.004
	IX	0.008	0.011	0.007	0.009	0.003	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.014	0.005
$(U_8, \mathscr{D}_8^j)$	NIS	0.043	0.039	0.034	0.075	0.041	0.126	0.053	0.035	0.042	0.087
	NIX	0.211	0.402	0.411	0.510	0.278	0.313	0.433	0.437	0.808	0.492
	IS	0.005	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.004	0.007	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.012
	IX	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.007	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.006	0.007
$(U_9, \mathscr{D}_9^j)$	NIS	0.050	0.059	0.070	0.105	0.072	0.247	0.092	0.102	0.116	0.191
	NIX	0.234	0.830	0.794	0.729	0.880	0.828	0.426	0.176	0.722	0.761
	IS	0.010	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.008	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
	IX	0.006	0.009	0.008	0.013	0.006	0.015	0.011	0.007	0.007	0.019
$(U_{10}, \mathscr{D}_{10}^j)$	NIS	0.495	0.088	0.167	0.167	0.143	0.592	0.210	0.124	0.308	0.459
	NIX	0.608	1.353	1.380	1.841	0.396	1.083	0.747	1.435	1.754	1.877
	IS	0.011	0.011	0.009	0.009	0.007	0.012	0.005	0.009	0.007	0.005
	IX	0.008	0.008	0.017	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.018

4.2 The influence of the cardinality of object set

In this section, we analyze the influence of the cardinality of object set on time of computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in dynamic covering information systems with the covering immigration.

There are ten sub-covering information systems with the same cardinality of covering sets. First, we compare the times of computing the second lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithm 3.4 with those using Algorithm 3.5 in dynamic covering information systems with the same cardinality of covering sets. From the results in Table 3, we see that the computing times are increasing with the increasing cardinality of object sets using Algorithms 3.4 and 3.5. We also find that Algorithm 3.5 executes faster than Algorithm 3.5 in dynamic covering information systems. Second, we also compare the times of computing the sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithm 3.11 with those using Algorithm 3.12 in dynamic covering information systems with the same cardinality of covering sets. From the results in Table 3, we see that the computing times are increasing with the increasing cardinality of object sets using Algorithms 3.11 and 3.12. We also find that Algorithm 3.12 executes faster than Algorithm 3.11 in dynamic covering information systems. Third, to illustrate the effectiveness of Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12, we show these results in Figures 1-10. In each figure, NIS, IS, NIX, and IX mean Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12, respectively; i stands for the cardinality of object set in X Axis, while the y-coordinate stands for the time to construct the approximations of concepts. Therefore, Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 are more effective to compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets, respectively, in dynamic covering information systems.



Figure 1: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^1)$.



Figure 2: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^2)$.



Figure 3: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^3)$.



Figure 4: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^4)$.



Figure 5: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^5)$.



Figure 6: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^6)$.



Figure 7: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^7)$.



Figure 8: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^8)$.



Figure 9: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^9)$.



Figure 10: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i^{10})$.

4.3 The influence of the cardinality of covering set

In this section, we analyze the influence of the cardinality of covering set on time of computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in dynamic covering information systems with the covering immigration.

In Table 2, there also exist ten sub-covering information systems with the same cardinality of object sets. First, we compare the times of computing the second lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithm 3.4 with those using Algorithm 3.5 in dynamic covering information systems with the same cardinality of object sets. According to the experimental results in Table 3, we see that the computing times are almost not increasing with the increasing cardinality of covering sets using Algorithms 3.4 and 3.5. We also find that Algorithm 3.5 executes faster than Algorithm 3.4 in dynamic covering information systems. Second, we compare the times of computing the sixth lower and upper approximations of sets using Algorithm 3.11 with those using Algorithm 3.12 in dynamic covering information systems with the same cardinality of object sets. From the results in Table 3, we see that the computing times are increasing with the increasing cardinality of covering sets using Algorithms 3.11. But the computing times are almost not increasing with the increasing cardinality of covering sets using Algorithms 3.12. We also find that Algorithms 3.12 executes faster than Algorithm 3.11 in dynamic covering information systems. Third, to illustrate the effectiveness of Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12, we show these results in Figures 11-20. In each figure, NIS, IS, NIX, and IX mean Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11 and 3.12, respectively; i stands for the cardinality of covering set in X Axis, while the y-coordinate stands for the time to construct the approximations of concepts. Therefore, Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 are more effective to compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets, respectively, in dynamic covering information systems with the immigration of coverings.

According to the experimental results, we see that Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 are more effective to compute the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets than Algorithms 3.4 and 3.11, respectively, in dynamic covering information systems with the immigrations of objects and coverings.



Figure 11: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_1, \mathscr{D}_1^i)$.



Figure 12: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_2, \mathscr{D}_2^i)$.



Figure 13: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_3, \mathscr{D}_3^i)$.



Figure 14: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_4, \mathscr{D}_4^i)$.



Figure 15: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_5, \mathscr{D}_5^i)$.



Figure 16: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_6, \mathscr{D}_6^i)$.



Figure 17: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_7, \mathscr{D}_7^i)$.



Figure 18: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_8, \mathscr{D}_8^i)$.



Figure 19: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_9, \mathscr{D}_9^i)$.



Figure 20: Computational times using Algorithms 3.4, 3.5, 3.11, and 3.12 in $(U_{10}, \mathscr{D}_{10}^i)$.

Remark. In the experiment, we can transform data sets downloaded from the University of California at Irvine(UCI)'s repository of machine learning databases into covering information systems. For example, we can transform the Balance Scale Weight & Distance Database with four conditional attributes into the covering information system $(U, \mathscr{D})$, where $|U| = 625$ and $|\mathscr{D}| = 4$. Concretely, since there are five attribute values for each conditional attribute, we can obtain a covering with five elements for each conditional attribute. Subsequently, based on Left-Weight, Left-Distance, Right-Weight, and Right-Distance, we have the covering information system $(U, \mathscr{D})$, where $|U| = 625$ and $|\mathscr{D}| = 4$. Furthermore, we can obtain a decision covering information system $(U, \mathscr{D}^*)$ by constructing a covering based on the decision attribute, where $|U| = 625$ and $|\mathscr{D}^*| = 5$. Therefore, we can obtain covering information systems

and decision covering information systems by transforming Irvine(UCI)'s repository of machine learning databases. Since the purpose of the experiment is to test the effectiveness of Algorithms 3.5 and 3.12 and the transformation process costs more time, we generated randomly ten artificial covering information systems $(U_i, \mathscr{D}_i)$ to test the designed algorithms in the experiments.

5 Knowledge reduction of covering decision information systems with the covering immigration

In this section, we employ examples to illustrate how to conduct knowledge reduction of covering decision information systems with the covering immigration.

Example 5.1 *Let* $(U, \mathscr{D}_C \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ *be a covering decision information system, where* $\mathscr{D}_C = \{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_2, \mathscr{C}_3\}$, $\mathscr{C}_1 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}, \{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_3 = \{\{x_1, x_2, x_5\}, \{x_3, x_4\}\}$, $\mathscr{D}_D = \{D_1, D_2\}$, $D_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$, *and* $D_2 = \{x_3, x_4, x_5\}$. *First, according to Definition 2.3, we obtain*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) = M_{\mathscr{D}_C} \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_C}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, by Definition 2.4, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Third, according to Definition 2.3, we get

$$\Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fourth, by Definition 2.4, we derive

$$\Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_3\})\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\bullet\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\1&1\\1&1\\1&1\\1&1\end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_3\})\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\odot\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0&0\\0&0\\0&0\\0&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1)\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\bullet\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\1&1\\1&1\\1&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1)\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\1&1&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\odot\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0&0\\0&0\\0&0\\0&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3)\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&0&0&1\\1&1&0&0&1\\0&0&1&1&0\\0&0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\bullet\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\1&1\\0&1\\0&1\\1&1\end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3)\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix}1&1&0&0&1\\1&1&0&0&1\\0&0&1&1&0\\0&0&1&1&0\\1&1&0&0&1\end{bmatrix}\odot\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\1&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}0&0\\0&0\\0&1\\0&1\\0&0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, according to Definition 2.5, $\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_3\}$ *is a type-1 reduct of* $(U,\mathscr{D}_C\cup\mathscr{D}_D)$.

In Example 5.1, we must compute $\Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_3\})\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_3\})\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1)\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1)\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3)\bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$, and $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3)\odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$ for constructing the type-1 reducts of covering decision information system $(U,\mathscr{D}_C\cup\mathscr{D}_D)$.

In what follows, we employ an example to illustrate how to compute the type-1 reducts of dynamic covering decision information systems with the immigration of coverings.

Example 5.2 *(Continued from Example 5.1) Let* $(U,\mathscr{D}_C^+\cup\mathscr{D}_D)$ *be a dynamic covering decision information system of* $(U,\mathscr{D}_C\cup\mathscr{D}_D)$*, where* $\mathscr{D}_C^+=\{\mathscr{C}_1,\mathscr{C}_2,\mathscr{C}_3,\mathscr{C}_4\}$, $\mathscr{C}_1=\{\{x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4\},\{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_2=\{\{x_1,x_2\},\{x_3,x_4,x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_3=\{\{x_1,x_2,x_5\},\{x_3,x_4\}\}$, $\mathscr{C}_4=\{\{x_1,x_2\},\{x_3,x_4\},\{x_5\}\}$, $\mathscr{D}_D=\{D_1,D_2\}$, $D_1=\{x_1,x_2\}$, *and* $D_2=\{x_3,x_4,x_5\}$. *First, by Theorem 3.3 and Example 5.1, we obtain*

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+)=\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C)\bigvee\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_4)=\begin{bmatrix}1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\\1&1&1&1&1\end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, by Definition 2.4, we have

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \odot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Third, by Example 5.1, we get

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, according to Definition 2.5, $\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}$ is a type-1 reduct of $(U, \mathscr{D}_C^+ \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$.

In Example 5.2, we must compute $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\{\mathscr{C}_1, \mathscr{C}_3\}) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_1) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}, \Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$, and $\Gamma(\mathscr{C}_3) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$ if we construct the type-1 reducts of dynamic covering decision information system $(U, \mathscr{D}_C^+ \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ with the non-incremental approach. But we only need to compute $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \bullet M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$ and $\Gamma(\mathscr{D}_C^+) \odot M_{\mathscr{D}_D}$ for constructing the type-1 reducts of $(U, \mathscr{D}_C^+ \cup \mathscr{D}_D)$ with the incremental approach. Therefore, the designed algorithm is effective to conduct knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with the immigration of coverings.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have updated the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices and designed effective algorithms for computing the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with variations of coverings. We have employed examples to illustrate how to calculate the second and sixth lower and upper approximations of sets. We have employed experimental results to illustrate the designed algorithms are effective to calculate the second and sixth lower and upper

approximations of sets in dynamic covering information systems with the immigration of coverings. We have explored two examples to demonstrate how to conduct knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems with the immigration of coverings.

In the future, we will investigate the calculation of approximations of sets in other dynamic covering information systems and propose effective algorithms for knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems. Furthermore, we will provide parallel algorithms for knowledge reduction of dynamic covering decision information systems using the type-1 and type-2 characteristic matrices.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers very much for their professional comments and valuable suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 61273304, 61573255,11201490,11371130,11401052,11401195), the Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (NO.2013M542558,2015M580353), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department(No.14C0049,15B004).

References

[1] H.M. Chen, T.R. Li, S.J. Qiao, D. Ruan, A rough set based dynamic maintenance approach for approximations in coarsening and refining attribute values, International Journal of Intelligent Systems 25(10) (2010) 1005-1026.

[2] H.M. Chen, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, Maintenance of approximations in incomplete ordered decision systems while attribute values coarsening or refining, Knowledge-Based Systems 31 (2012) 140-161.

[3] H.M. Chen, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, J.H. Lin, C.X. Hu, A rough-set based incremental approach for updating approximations under dynamic maintenance environments, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 25(2) (2013) 174-184.

[4] D.G. Chen, X.X. Zhang, W.L. Li, On measurements of covering rough sets based on granules and evidence theory, Information Sciences (2015), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2015.04.051.

[5] G.M. Lang, Q.G. Li, M.J. Cai, T. Yang, Characteristic matrices-based knowledge reduction in dynamic covering decision information systems, Knowledge-Based Systems (2015), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.03.021.

[6] G.M. Lang, Q.G. Li, M.J. Cai, T. Yang, Q.M. Xiao, Incremental approaches to constructing approximations of sets based on characteristic matrices, International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics (2014), doi: http://dx. doi:10. 1007/s 13042-014-0315-4.

[7] G.M. Lang, Q.G. Li, L.K. Guo, Homomorphisms between covering approximation spaces, Fundamenta Informaticae 137 (2015) 351-371.

[8] G.M. Lang, Q.G. Li, L.K. Guo, Homomorphisms-based attribute reduction of dynamic fuzzy covering information systems, International Journal of General Systems 44(7-8) (2015) 791-811.

[9] G.M. Lang, Q.G. Li, T. Yang, An incremental approach to attribute reduction of dynamic set-valued information systems, International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 5 (2014) 775-788.

[10] S.Y. Li, T.R. Li, D. Liu, Incremental updating approximations in dominance-based rough sets approach under the variation of the attribute set, Knowledge-Based Systems 40 (2013) 17-26.

[11] S.Y. Li, T.R. Li, D. Liu, Dynamic maintenance of approximations in dominance-based rough set approach under the variation of the object set, International Journal of Intelligent Systems 28(8) (2013) 729-751.

[12] T.R. Li, D. Ruan, W. Geert, J. Song, Y. Xu, A rough sets based characteristic relation approach for dynamic attribute generalization in data mining, Knowledge-Based Systems 20(5) (2007) 485-494.

[13] T.R. Li, D. Ruan, J. Song, Dynamic maintenance of decision rules with rough set under characteristic relation, Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing (2007) 3713-3716.

[14] J.Y. Liang, F. Wang, C.Y. Dang, Y.H. Qian, A group incremental approach to feature selection applying rough set technique, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 26(2) (2014) 294-308.

[15] G.L. Liu, The axiomatization of the rough set upper approximation operations, Fundamenta Informaticae 69(3) (2006) 331-342.

[16] D. Liu, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, J.B. Zhang, Incremental learning optimization on knowledge discovery in dynamic business intelligent systems, Journal of Global Optimization 51(2) (2011) 325-344.

[17] D. Liu, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, W.L. Zou, An incremental approach for inducing knowledge from dynamic information systems, Fundamenta Informaticae 94(2) (2009) 245-260.

[18] D. Liu, T.R. Li, J.B.Zhang, A rough set-based incremental approach for learning knowledge in dynamic incomplete information systems, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 55(8) (2014) 1764-1786.

[19] D. Liu, T.R. Li, J.B. Zhang, Incremental updating approximations in probabilistic rough sets under the variation of attributes, Knowledge-based Systems 73 (2015) 81-96.

[20] D. Liu, D.C. Liang, C.C. Wang, A novel three-way decision model based on incomplete information system, Knowledge-Based Systems (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.07.036.

[21] C.H. Liu, D.Q. Miao, J. Qian, On multi-granulation covering rough sets, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 55(6) (2014) 1404-1418.

[22] C. Luo, T.R. Li, H.M. Chen, Dynamic maintenance of approximations in set-valued ordered decision systems under the attribute generalization, Information Sciences 257 (2014) 210-228.

[23] C. Luo, T.R. Li, H.M. Chen, D. Liu, Incremental approaches for updating approximations in set-valued ordered information systems, Knowledge-Based Systems 50 (2013) 218-233.

[24] C. Luo, T.R. Li, H.M. Chen, L.X. Lu, Fast algorithms for computing rough approximations in set-valued decision systems while updating criteria values, Information Sciences 299 (2015) 221-242.

[25] D.Q. Miao, C. Gao, N. Zhang, Z.F. Zhang, Diverse reduct subspaces based co-training for partially labeled data, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 52(8) (2011) 1103-1117.

[26] Y.H. Qian, J.Y. Liang, D.Y. Li, F. Wang, N.N. Ma, Approximation reduction in inconsistent incomplete decision tables, Knowledge-Based Systems 23(5) (2010) 427-433.

[27] Y.L. Sang, J.Y. Liang, Y.H. Qian, Decision-theoretic rough sets under dynamic granulation, Knowledge-Based Systems (2015), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2015.08.001.

[28] W.H. Shu, H. Shen, Updating attribute reduction in incomplete decision systems with the variation of attribute set, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 55(3) (2013) 867-884.

[29] W.H. Shu, H. Shen, Incremental feature selection based on rough set in dynamic incomplete data, Pattern Recognition 47(12) (2014) 3890-3906.

[30] W.H. Shu, W.B. Qian, An incremental approach to attribute reduction from dynamic incomplete decision systems in rough set theory, Data and Knowledge Engineering (2015), doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.datak.2015.06.009.

[31] A.H. Tan, J.J. Li, Y.J. Lin, G.P. Lin, Matrix-based set approximations and reductions in covering decision information systems, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 59 (2015) 68-80.

[32] A.H. Tan, J.J. Li, G.P. Lin, Y.J. Lin, Fast approach to knowledge acquisition in covering information systems using matrix operations, Knowledge-Based Systems 79(2015) 90-98.

[33] F. Wang, J.Y. Liang, C.Y. Dang, Attribute reduction for dynamic data sets, Applied Soft Computing 13 (2013) 676-689.

[34] F. Wang, J.Y. Liang, Y.H. Qian, Attribute reduction: A dimension incremental strategy, Knowledge-Based Systems 39 (2013) 95-108.

[35] S.P. Wang, W. Zhu, Q.H. Zhu, F. Min, Characteristic matrix of covering and its application to boolean matrix decomposition and axiomatization, Information Sciences 263(1) (2014) 186-197.

[36] T. Yang, Q.G. Li, Reduction about approximation spaces of covering generalized rough sets, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 51(3) (2010) 335-345.

[37] X.B. Yang, M. Zhang, H.L. Dou, J. Y. Yang, Neighborhood systems-based rough sets in incomplete information system, Knowledge-Based Systems 24(6) (2011) 858-867.

[38] X.B. Yang, Y. Qi, H.L. Yu, X.N. Song, J.Y. Yang, Updating multigranulation rough approximations with increasing of granular structures, Knowledge-Based Systems 64 (2014) 59-69.

[39] Y.Y. Yao, Relational interpretations of neighborhood operators and rough set approximation operators, Information Sciences 111(1) (1998) 239-259.

[40] Y.Y. Yao, B.X. Yao, Covering based rough set approximations, information Sciences 200 (2012) 91-107.

[41] W. Zakowski, Approximations in the space (u, π), Demonstratio Mathematics 16 (1983) 761-769.

[42] J.B. Zhang, T.R. Li, H.M. Chen, Composite rough sets for dynamic data mining, Information Sciences 257 (2014) 81-100.

[43] J.B. Zhang, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, D. Liu, Rough sets based matrix approaches with dynamic attribute variation in set-valued information systems, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 53(4) (2012) 620-635.

[44] J.B. Zhang, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, D. Liu, Neighborhood rough sets for dynamic data mining, International Journal of Intelligent Systems 27(4) (2012) 317-342.

[45] J.B. Zhang, T.R. Li, D. Ruan, Z.Z. Gao, C.B. Zhao, A parallel method for computing rough set approximations, Information Sciences 194(0) (2012) 209-223.

[46] J.B. Zhang, J.S. Wong, T.R. Li, Y. Pan, A comparison of parallel large-scale knowledge acquisition using rough set theory on different mapreduce runtime systems, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 55(3) (2014) 896-907.

[47] J.B. Zhang, J.S. Wong, Y. Pan, T.R. Li, A parallel matrix-based method for computing approximations in incomplete information systems, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering 27(2) (2015) 326-339.

[48] P. Zhu, Covering rough sets based on neighborhoods: an approach without using neighborhoods, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 52(3) (2011) 461-472.

[49] W. Zhu, Relationship among basic concepts in covering-based rough sets, Information Sciences 179(14) (2009) 2478-2486.

[50] W. Zhu, Relationship between generalized rough sets based on binary relation and coverings, Information Sciences 179(3) (2009) 210-225.